

12 H.E. McCracken Circle Bluffton. SC 29910

Grades 9-12 High School
Enrollment 1,022 Students

PrincipalMark Dievendorf843-706-8800SuperintendentDr. Valerie Truesdale843-322-2300Board ChairFred Washington843-322-2356

2012 ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2012	Excellent	Excellent
2011	Average	At-Risk
2010	Good	At-Risk
2009	Average	Good
2008	Average	Good

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF HIGH SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS						
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk		
14	5	0	0	0		

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 11/14/2012.

High School Assessment Program (HSAP) Exam Passage Rate: Second Year Students						
	Our High School				Schools ents Like	
Percent	2010	2011	2012	2010	2011	2012
Passed 2 subtests (%)	71.4%	80.5%	87.0%	84.1%	83.8%	86.0%
Passed 1 subtest (%)	15.9%	12.0%	9.3%	9.3%	10.0%	8.9%
Passed no subtests (%)	12.7%	7.5%	3.8%	7.4%	6.8%	5.2%

HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012		
	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	91.7%	94.2%

Four-Year Cohort Graduation Rate					
	Our Higl	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours	
	2011*	2012	2011	2012	
Number of Students in Four-Year Cohort	405	338	403	381	
Number of Graduates in Cohort	285	237	311	304	
Rate	70.4%	70.1%	78.0%	79.7%	

^{*}Used to calculate current ESEA/Federal Accountability Grade.

Five-Year Graduation Rate						
	Our Hig	h School	High Schools with	Students Like Ours		
	2011	2012	2011	2012		
Number of Students in Cohort	453	401	425	387		
Number of Graduates in Cohort	293	298	324	310		
Rate	64.7%	74.3%	77.5%	80.8%		

End of Course Tests						
Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our High School	High Schools with Students Like Ours*				
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	63.6%	82.3%				
English 1	0.0%	71.6%				
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	86.7%	82.8%				
US History and the Constitution	56.6%	60.7%				
All Tests	68.6%	75.7%				

^{*} High Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

Bluffton High School 11/14/12-0701030

School Profile

Retention rate	School Profile	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Attendance rate 95.3% Up from 95.0% 95.4% 95.3% Served by gifted and talented program N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV	Students (n=1,022)				,
Served by gifted and talented program N/AV N/	Retention rate	3.3%	Down from 15.4%	2.4%	3.0%
With disabilities other than speech N/AV	Attendance rate	95.3%	Up from 95.0%	95.4%	95.3%
N/AV	Served by gifted and talented program	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses 1.8% Up from 0.4% 0.8% 0.9% Enrolled in AP/IB programs 28.5% Down from 31.6% 26.5% 13.4% Successful on AP/IB exams 67.7% Up from 55.7% 59.2% 50.9% Eligible for LIFE Scholarship 0.0% Down from 32.9% 36.3% 30.1% Annual dropout rate 2.7% Up from 1.0% 2.3% 2.3% Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 2.4% Up from 1.3% 4.3% 2.7% Enrollment in career/technology courses 580 Down from 598 737 395 Students participating in work-based experiences 1.5% Down from 15.1% 6.5% 7.4% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 88.8% Up from 86.1% 85.1% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 100.0% 98.4% Teachers (n=69) Teachers with advanced degrees 66.7% Up from 86.1% 85.1% 84.0% Continuing contract teachers N/AV	With disabilities other than speech	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
28.5% Down from 0.4% U.9%	Older than usual for grade	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Successful on AP/IB exams	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.8%	Up from 0.4%	0.8%	0.9%
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	Enrolled in AP/IB programs	28.5%	Down from 31.6%	26.5%	13.4%
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations 2.4% Up from 1.0% 2.3% 2.3% 2.7% Up from 1.3% 4.3% 2.7% 2.7% 2.7% Up from 1.3% 4.3% 2.7% 2.7% 395 Students participating in work-based experiences Career/technology students attaining technical skills 88.8% Up from 86.1% 85.1% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 100.0% No Change 100.0% 98.4% 100.0% No Change 100.0% 98.4% 100.0% No Change 100.0% 1	Successful on AP/IB exams	67.7%	Up from 55.7%	59.2%	50.9%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations Enrollment in career/technology courses 580 Down from 598 Students participating in work-based experiences 1.5% Down from 15.1% 6.5% 7.4% Career/technology students attaining technical skills 88.8% Up from 86.1% 85.1% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 100.0% 98.4% Teachers (n=69) Teachers with advanced degrees 66.7% Up from 60.3% 66.7% N/AV N/A N/A	Eligible for LIFE Scholarship	0.0%	Down from 32.9%	36.3%	30.1%
2.4% Up from 1.3% 4.3% 2.7%	Annual dropout rate	2.7%	Up from 1.0%	2.3%	2.3%
Students participating in work-based experiences 1.5% Down from 15.1% 6.5% 7.4%	0,	2.4%	Up from 1.3%	4.3%	2.7%
Career/technology students attaining technical skills 88.8% Up from 86.1% 85.1% 84.0% Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 100.0% 98.4% Teachers (n=69) Teachers with advanced degrees 66.7% Up from 60.3% 66.7% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV N/AV Teachers returning from previous year 79.2% Down from 79.9% 89.6% 86.6% Teacher attendance rate 95.8% Up from 95.1% 95.2% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$48,753 Down 0.3% \$48,569 \$47,32 Professional development days/teacher 13.8 days Up from 8.2 days 8.3 days 9.7 day School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 5.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 29.3 to 1 Up from 28.6 to 1 29.3 to 1 27.1 to Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4%	Enrollment in career/technology courses	580	Down from 598	737	395
Career/technology completers placed 100.0% No Change 100.0% 98.4% Teachers (n=69) Teachers with advanced degrees 66.7% Up from 60.3% 66.7% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/A N/A 66.6% 63.2% 66.6% 66.6% 86.6% 86.6% 97.3% 97.43 97.3% 97.1	Students participating in work-based experiences	1.5%	Down from 15.1%	6.5%	7.4%
Teachers (n=69) 66.7% Up from 60.3% 66.7% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/A	Career/technology students attaining technical skills	88.8%	Up from 86.1%	85.1%	84.0%
Teachers with advanced degrees 66.7% Up from 60.3% 66.7% 63.2% Continuing contract teachers N/AV N/AV </td <td>Career/technology completers placed</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>No Change</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>98.4%</td>	Career/technology completers placed	100.0%	No Change	100.0%	98.4%
Continuing contract teachers N/AV <	Teachers (n=69)				
Teachers returning from previous year 79.2% Down from 79.9% 89.6% 86.6% 7eacher attendance rate 95.8% Up from 95.1% 95.2% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$48,753 Down 0.3% \$48,569 \$47,32 Professional development days/teacher 13.8 days Up from 8.2 days 8.3 days 9.7 day School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 5.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 29.3 to 1 Up from 28.6 to 1 29.3 to 1 27.1 to Prime instructional time 89.3% Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8,255 Up 25.8% \$6,656 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A Good Good	Teachers with advanced degrees	66.7%	Up from 60.3%	66.7%	63.2%
Teacher attendance rate 95.8% Up from 95.1% 95.2% 95.2% Average teacher salary* \$48,753 Down 0.3% \$48,569 \$47,32 Professional development days/teacher 13.8 days Up from 8.2 days 8.3 days 9.7 day School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 5.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 29.3 to 1 Up from 28.6 to 1 29.3 to 1 27.1 to Prime instructional time 89.3% Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8,255 Up 25.8% \$6,656 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5%	Continuing contract teachers	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV
Average teacher salary* \$48,753 Down 0.3% \$48,569 \$47,32 Professional development days/teacher 13.8 days Up from 8.2 days 8.3 days 9.7 day School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 5.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 29.3 to 1 Up from 28.6 to 1 29.3 to 1 27.1 to Prime instructional time 89.3% Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8,255 Up 25.8% \$6,656 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A Good Good	Teachers returning from previous year	79.2%	Down from 79.9%	89.6%	86.6%
Professional development days/teacher 13.8 days Up from 8.2 days 8.3 days 9.7 day School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 5.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 29.3 to 1 Up from 28.6 to 1 29.3 to 1 27.1 to Prime instructional time 89.3% Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8,255 Up 25.8% \$6,656 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A	Teacher attendance rate	95.8%	Up from 95.1%	95.2%	95.2%
School Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 5.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 29.3 to 1 Up from 28.6 to 1 29.3 to 1 27.1 to Prime instructional time 89.3% Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8,255 Up 25.8% \$6,656 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A Good Good	Average teacher salary*	\$48,753	Down 0.3%	\$48,569	\$47,326
Principal's years at school 2.0 Up from 1.0 5.0 4.0 Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 29.3 to 1 Up from 28.6 to 1 29.3 to 1 27.1 to Prime instructional time 89.3% Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8,255 Up 25.8% \$6,656 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A N/A	Professional development days/teacher	13.8 days	Up from 8.2 days	8.3 days	9.7 days
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects 29.3 to 1 Up from 28.6 to 1 29.3 to 1 27.1 to Prime instructional time 89.3% Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8,255 Up 25.8% \$6,656 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A Good Good	School				
Prime instructional time 89.3% Up from 88.3% 89.2% 89.4% Dollars spent per pupil** \$8,255 Up 25.8% \$6,656 \$7,708 Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A Good Good	Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	5.0	4.0
Dollars spent per pupil** Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** Percent of expenditures for instruction** Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% 61.8% 59.6% No Change Excellent Excellent No Change Yes Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A Good Good Good	Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	29.3 to 1	Up from 28.6 to 1	29.3 to 1	27.1 to 1
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** 59.5% Down from 62.8% 60.0% 57.1% Percent of expenditures for instruction** 61.3% Down from 65.7% 61.8% 59.6% Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A Good Good Good	Prime instructional time	89.3%	Up from 88.3%	89.2%	89.4%
Percent of expenditures for instruction** Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 65.7% No Change Yes Yes Yes Yes Opon from 94.5% No Change Opon from 94.5% Opo	Dollars spent per pupil**	\$8,255	Up 25.8%	\$6,656	\$7,708
Opportunities in the arts Excellent No Change Excellent Excellent SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A N/A Good Good	Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	59.5%	Down from 62.8%	60.0%	57.1%
SACS accreditation Yes No Change Yes Yes Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A Good Good	Percent of expenditures for instruction**	61.3%	Down from 65.7%	61.8%	59.6%
Parents attending conferences 83.3% Down from 94.5% 97.3% 97.8% Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A Good Good	Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Excellent	Excellent
Character development program Good No Change Good Good Modern language program assessment N/A N/A Good Good	SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Modern language program assessment N/A N/A Good Good	Parents attending conferences	83.3%	Down from 94.5%	97.3%	97.8%
and an arrange program arrange	Character development program	Good	No Change	Good	Good
Classical language program assessment N/A N/A Average Average Average	Modern language program assessment			Good	Good
3.13.13	Classical language program assessment	N/A	N/A	Average	Average

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Performance By Student Groups

		HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2012		End of Course Tests Passage Rate		On-time Graduation Rate, 2012	
	n	%	t	%	n	%	
All Students	277	91.7%	570	68.6%	338	70.1%	
Gender							
Male	130	86.9%	291	71.8%	167	62.3%	
Female	147	95.9%	279	65.2%	171	77.8%	
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	161	95.7%	306	79.4%	183	74.9%	
African American	42	81.0%	117	47.0%	65	66.2%	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	64	87.5%	135	62.2%	82	59.8%	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disability Status							
Disabled	29	65.5%	49	49.0%	34	50.0%	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	44	81.8%	110	61.8%	56	50.0%	
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	74	87.8%	250	56.8%	132	59.1%	

NOTE: n=number of students on which percentage is calculated; t=number of tests taken.

Bluffton High School 11/14/12-0701030

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

The close of the 2011-12 school year marks the completion of the second year of our successful implementation of our Academic Achievement Improvement Plan at Bluffton High School. This plan was initially adopted and implemented by the Bluffton High School Staff in the fall of 2010, adopted by our School Improvement Council, and has served as our "template for success" and vision for school improvement since that time. The six components of our Academic Achievement Improvement Plan include our Curricular Initiative; Scheduling Initiative; Assessment Initiative; At-Risk Remediation, Reinforcement, and Support Initiative; Professional Development Initiative; and School Environment Initiative. These components are interdependent, data driven, and create a method of sustainable student achievement improvement.

We are proud to report that as a result of the implementation of our plan, Bluffton High School students have demonstrated unprecedented improvement in the areas of academic achievement, participation in student activities, and perception of our school environment. Specifically we have realized the following documented results:

- · BLHS ACT Plan and PSAT results exceed the national average and national college readiness indicators.
- 83% of our Seniors earned their National Career Readiness Certificate as a result of passing the ACT WorkKeys Assessment
- English (94%) and Math (89%) students earned the highest scores in the County in the 2012 English and Math HSAP Assessments with 87% of students passing the HSAP, reducing by 50% the number of the 10th grade cohort that did not pass both assessments as compared to 2011.
- Biology and U.S. History students earned the highest scores in the County on their End of Course Assessments.
- The 2011-12 Student Body School Climate Survey documents greater than 10% improvement in student perception the areas of school safety, respect, school quality, student-staff relationships, and in the reduction of bullying.
- Bluffton High School has been honored for the 2nd straight year by The Washington Post High School Challenge Index as one of "America's Best High Schools."

These achievements are the result of the collaborative investment and deliberate effort of students, staff, and our building leadership and should be celebrated. On behalf of our School Improvement Council, we take pride in providing this good news to the members of the greater Bluffton Community. The "Bobcat Nation" has good reason to be proud!

Mark Dievendorf, Principal

Robert Collar, Chairperson, SIC

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	40	163	43			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	76.9%	78.3%	83.3%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	90.0%	84.4%	72.1%			
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	52.5%	81.8%	76.7%			

^{*} Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade eleven, only the highest grade was included.

Bluffton High School 11/14/12-0701030

ESEA/Federal Accountability Rating System

In July 2012, the South Carolina Department of Education was granted a waiver from several accountability requirements of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). This waiver allowed SC to replace the former pass/fail system with one that utilizes more of the statewide assessments already in place and combine these subject area results with graduation rate (in high schools) to determine if each school met the target or made progress toward the target. This analysis results in a letter grade for the school rather than the pass/fail system of previous years. For a detailed review of the matrix for each school and districts that determined the letter grade, please use the following link: http://ed.sc.gov/data/esea/ or request this information from your child's district or school.

Overall Weighted Points Total	83.8
Overall Grade Conversion	В

Index Score	Grade	Description
90-100	Α	Performance substantially exceeds the state's expectations.
80-89.9	В	Performance exceeds the state's expectations.
70-79.9	С	Performance meets the state's expectations.
60-69.9	D	Performance does not meet the state's expectations.
Less than 60	F	Performance is substantially below the state's expectations

Α	
ccoun	
tability	
Indica ⁻	
tor for	
Title	
I Sc	
hool	
Ġ	

DIfffaa	High Cal	 .	hatennizah	

Title I Reward School for Performance - among the highest performing Title I schools in a given year.
Title I Reward School for Progress – one of the schools with substantial progress in student subgroups.
Title I Focus School – one of the schools with the highest average performance gap between subgroups.
Title I Priority School – one of the 5% lowest performing Title I schools.
Title I School – does not qualify as Reward, Focus or Priority School.
Non-Title I School – therefore the designations above are not applicable.

Teacher Quality Data							
		Our District	t State				
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qual	6.9%	2.6%					
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qua	8.9%	5.1%					
	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective				
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	8.5%	0.0%	No				

Bluffton High School 11/14/12-0701030									
Performance By Group									
Subgroups	ELA Mean	Math Mean	Science Mean	Social Studies Mean	ELA % Tested	Math % Tested	Graduation Rate		
		G	rades 9-12						
All Students	239	241	81	71	99.4	99.4	70.4		
Male	235	243	84	72	99.4	99.4	62.0		
Female	242	240	79	70	99.4	99.4	77.9		
White	243	247	86	74	99.5	99.5	77.4		
African American	231	229	74	65	100.0	100.0	65.8		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hispanic	232	235	77	69	100.0	100.0	57.6		
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Disabled	213	205	N/A	N/A	100.0	100.0	50.0		
Limited English Proficient	232	231	71	69	97.9	97.9	51.5		
Subsidized meals	232	231	76	68	99.3	99.3	64.4		
Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)	223	220	76	71	95.0	95	73.1		

Two-Year HSAP Trend Data									
	School Year	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Below Basic	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	School % Proficient or Advanced*	
English/Language Arts									
All Students	2011	407	99.3	9.9	24.6	32.6	32.9	70.1	
	2012	347	99.4	6.0	22.6	35.2	36.1	82.2	
Mathematics									
All Students	2011	407	99.3	16.6	24.1	29.9	29.4	67.1	
	2012	347	99.4	11.1	26.2	24.1	38.6	74.7	